



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,604	03/31/2004	Thomas Palmieri	2006P26237 US	4357
28524	7590	02/22/2010	EXAMINER	
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			WRIGHT, PATRICIA KATHRYN	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1797		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/22/2010	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,604	PALMIERI ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
P. Kathryn Wright	1797	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) P. Kathryn Wright. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Vincent DeLuca (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 February 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all of record.*

Claims discussed:

39-43

Prior art documents discussed:

*Carey et al., (US Patent No.5,827,478)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/P. Kathryn Wright/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called Mr. DeLuca to propose introducing language into claims 39 to overcome the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection and art rejection over Carey. Mr. DeLuca agreed to contact Applicant's with proposed amendment however, the examiner did not receive a timely response. A new Official non-final action on the merits follows. .